

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

• 5W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,745	02/26/2001	Терро Којо	989.1026	9912
21831	7590 02/27/2004		EXAMINER	
STEINBERG & RASKIN, P.C. 1140 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, 15th FLOOR			RIVERA, WILLIAM ARAUZ	
NEW YORK, NY 10036-5803		3, 13ul 1 LOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3654	

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\leq 1 M$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/763,745	KOJO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	William A Rivera	3654
· The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply`	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>RCE</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ Thi 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the practice. 	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1,3-9,11-13,15 and 16 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-9,11-13,15 and 16 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO.413)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Application/Control Number: 09/763,745 Page 2

Art Unit: 3654

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-9, 11-13, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaguchi et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,055,313) in view of Gay et al (U.S. Patent No. 2,092,966) and Hutzenlaub (U.S. Patent No. 4,117,986).

With respect to Claims 1, 3-9, 11-13, 15 and 16, Yamaguchi et al, Figures 1 and 2, teaches providing a full-width paper web 11 issuing from a paper machine having a production width, providing a plurality of first reel spools (see Fig 2) and reeling said full-width paper web around a spool in a first reel-up 1, (Note that wound reels 12 are placed on carts and taken away for further processing); returning the empty first reel spool to said first reel up. Hutzenlaub, Figures 1-3 and Column 5, lines 5-6, teaches rolls being wound and being placed on carriage in which the carriage may be moved for a further handling of the coil. Gay et al, Figures 1-6, teach unwinding a paper web 2; passing the web through a finishing machine 3 and reeled up in a second reel-up 7 wherein the first reel arranged between the paper machine and the unwinding station has a different dimension than the second reel spool. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to send the first reeled up web to an unwinding station, as taught by Gay et al, for the purpose of further treating the web. Further it should be noted that Hutzenlaub teaches to move rolls to different areas for further handling. As such, it would have been

Application/Control Number: 09/763,745

Art Unit: 3654

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the rolls such as those of Yamaguchi et al or Hutzenlaub could be moved to be finished by the method shown by Gay et al. With respect to winding a single reel spool at a time, it should be noted that Yamaguchi et al does teach reeling a web around one single reel spool. With respect to winding one at a time, it would have been an obvious to wind a single roll or a number of rolls because one of ordinary skill would have been expected to determine the optimum number of webs to be wound for a particular use.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed February 11, 2004 have been fully considered but they are deemed to be most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William A Rivera whose telephone number is 703-308-2684. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday - 7:30 to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on 703-308-2688. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Facsimile correspondence for this application should be sent to the following respective numbers:

For **BEFORE FINAL** correspondence: (703) 872-9326 For **AFTER FINAL** correspondence: (703) 872-9327

WILLIAM A. RIVERA PRIMARY EXAMINER

W. Minn R. Riner

Page 3

FEB 26, 2004